

<sup>1</sup>MRC/CSO Social & Public Health Sciences Unit, Institute of Health and Well Being, Glasgow University, Glasgow, UK <sup>2</sup>MRC Social and Public Health Sciences Unit, Glasgow, UK

## Correspondence to

Dr Kate Hunt, MRC/CSO Social & Public Health Sciences Unit, University of Glasgow, Top floor, 200 Renfield Street, Glasgow G2 3QB, UK; kate.hunt@glasgow.ac.uk

Received 20 January 2014 Accepted 9 February 2014 Published Online First 29 April 2014

## You have been QUALIFIED for a smokeless e-cig starter kit

Kate Hunt, <sup>1</sup> Helen Sweeting<sup>2</sup>

Shortly after the shock of seeing e-cigarette adverting on television, an unsolicited e-mail arrived promoting an 'e-cig starter kit' (figure 1). This showed 'Megan' (attractive, slim, elegant, professional, confident and happy) 'smoking' an e-cigarette, apparently on a plane. Incongruously, the e-cigarette billows smoke. The sender's address and titles of embedded links suggest the ease of trying e-cigarettes, and that e-cigarettes are healthy and inoffensive. Ingeniously, the advert can be read as showing that holding a cigarette object is attractive and socially desirable, and that e-cigarettes are (somewhat) distinct from 'ordinary' cigarettes.

Emerging research raises concerns over whether e-cigarettes renormalise and reglamourise smoking and/or act as a gateway to smoking.<sup>1</sup> Within present legislation, 'Megan' can 'smoke' her e-cigarette in public spaces because e-cigarettes are not subject to smoke-free regulation. They can also be advertised, although some may question whether a *smoking* e-cigarette complies with guidelines.

In 2013, US Democratic Congress members wrote to e-cigarette manufacturers regarding marketing tactics likely to 'hook' young people,<sup>3</sup> and posted a presentation highlighting parallels with earlier cigarette marketing.<sup>4</sup> As gender and health researchers, we also note the strong resemblance to images of women in adverting which so

successfully drew earlier generations of women to smoking. 5-7

**Contributors** Both authors have contributed equally to writing this short piece.

**Funding** This study was supported by the MRC.

Competing interests None.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

**Open Access** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 3.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/

## REFERENCES

- Flouris AD, Oikonomou DN. Electronic cigarettes: miracle or menace? BMJ 2010;340:c311.
- 2 Bell K, Keane H. Nicotine control: e-cigarettes, smoking and addiction. Int J Drug Policy 2012;23:242–7.
- 3 Mike Mitka M. Marketing tactics of e-cigarette manufacturers questioned. JAMA 2013;310:1912.
- 4 http://democrats.energycommerce.house.gov/index.php?q=page/e-cigarette-flashbacks
- 5 Amos A. Putting women in the picture: cigarette advertising policy and coverage of smoking and health in women's magazines in Europe. London: BMA, 1992.
- 6 Elliot R. Women and smoking since 1890. Abingdon: Routledge, 2008.
- Hunt K, Hannah MK, West P. Contextualising smoking: masculinity, femininity and class differences in smoking in men and women from three generations in the west of Scotland. *Health Educ Res Theory Pract* 2004;19:239–49.

Electronic Cigarette Free Trial | The Healthy Smoker's Choice: No Carbon Monoxide, Ash or Bad Smell!





**To cite:** Hunt K, Sweeting H. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2014;**68**:786.



Figure 1 Screenshot of unsolicited email.